



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

WILLIAM JACKSON,
Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:14-02262-MGL

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
AND GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be granted. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on January 12, 2016, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not

conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein.¹ Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 1st day of February, 2016, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary Geiger Lewis
MARY GEIGER LEWIS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹The Court notes that, through no fault of the Magistrate Judge, the Report states that Plaintiff has settled with Defendant Condie Johnson. ECF No. 62 at 1 n.1. However, Defendant Condie Johnson was dismissed from the suit without settlement of the claims against him pursuant to a Stipulation of Dismissal on October 22, 2015. ECF No. 55. Consequently, the Court adopts the Report to the extent this inadvertent discrepancy has been corrected.